## References

- İde, S., Kendi, E., Özbey, S. & Ertan, N. (1994). Acta Cryst. C50, 1505-1507.
- Johnson, C. K. (1976). ORTEPII. Report ORNL-5138. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- Motherwell, W. D. S. & Clegg, W. (1978). PLUTO. Program for Plotting Molecular and Crystal Structures. Univ. of Cambridge, England.
- Nardelli, M. (1983). Comput. Chem. 7, 95-98.
- Özbey, S., Kendi, E., Hocaoğlu, N., Uyar, T. & Mak, T. C. W. (1993). Acta Cryst. C49, 2165–2167.
- Özbey, S., Kendi, E., Hocaoğlu, N., Uyar, T., Mak, T. C. W. & Cascarano, G. L. (1994). Acta Cryst. C50, 629-631.
- Phillips, R. R. (1959). Organic Reactions, Vol. 10, ch. 2. New York: John Wiley.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1976). SHELX76. Program for Crystal Structure Determination. Univ. of Cambridge, England.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1990). Acta Cryst. A46, 467-473.
- Venkataraman, K. (1952). The Chemistry of Synthetic Dyes, Vol. 1, p. 703. New York: Academic Press.
- Wislicenus, W. & Kötzle, A. (1889). Ann. Chem. 252, 72-87.

Acta Cryst. (1995). C51, 709-712

# Antifungal Compounds Isolated from New Zealand Flax: 7-Hydroxy-5-methoxy-6-methylphthalide and 4-Methoxycarbonyl- $\beta$ -orcinol

GRAEME J. GAINSFORD

The New Zealand Institute for Industrial Research and Development, PO Box 31-310, Lower Hutt, New Zealand

(Received 9 March 1994; accepted 22 August 1994)

#### Abstract

7-Hvdroxy-5-methoxy-6-methylphthalide,  $C_{10}H_{10}O_4$ , and the  $\beta$ -orcinol compound methyl 2,4-dihydroxy-3,6dimethylbenzoate,  $C_{10}H_{12}O_4$ , were obtained from the hexane extracts of air-dried powdered roots of Phormium cookianum Le Jolis by column chromatography and repeated recrystallization from hexane/ether solution [Harvey & Waring (1987). J. Nat. Prod. 50, 767–776]. X-ray analysis at low temperature (133 K) showed that crystals of the phthalide contain four independent hydrogen-bonded molecules, each of which has one intramolecular hydrogen bond. The five- and six-membered fused rings are planar with an average interplanar angle of 1.9° for three of the molecules, the rings being coplanar in the fourth, which has a weaker intramolecular hydrogen bond. The structure of methyl 2,4-dihydroxy-3,6-dimethylbenzoate at room temperature has been reported previously [Brehm, Stoeckli-Evans, Tabacchi & Bürgi (1983). Helv. Chim. Acta, 66,

824–827]. The present low-temperature results are in close agreement with the previous results, the few minor differences being attributable to crystal-packing differences around the ester and hydroxyl groups.

#### Comment

The two extracts, 7-hydroxy-5-methoxy-6-methylphthalide, (I), and 4-methoxycarbonyl- $\beta$ -orcinol, (II), from a flax plant, were obtained as part of a concerted study to identify the active ingredients used for curing various ills by New Zealand's Maori people. Neither could be identified uniquely by the usual spectroscopic methods [details of their antifungal properties are reported elsewhere (Harvey & Waring, 1987)].



The structure of (I) (Fig. 1) consists of four independent hydrogen-bonded molecules ( $H \cdots O 2.02-2.29$  Å; Table 3). Each of the molecules also has a longer intramolecular hydrogen bond ( $H \cdots O 2.30-2.69$  Å). Comparison of the four molecules confirms the definite planarity of the five- and six-membered rings; the interplanar angles are in the range 1.8-2.0°, except for that of molecule 2, which has a significantly weaker intramolecular hydrogen bond of distorted geometry resulting in the two fused rings being coplanar.

Small but significant deviations from the phthalide ring planes are observed for the carboxyl O [O(n1)]and methyl C atoms [C(n10) and C(n9), where *n* is the number of the molecule]. Ranges of equivalent bond



Fig. 1. View of the independent molecule in compound (I) (*SHELXTL*; Sheldrick, 1990). Atom labels in Tables 1 and 2 are based on those shown with a molecule number prefixed, *e.g.* C(21) is atom C(1) in molecule 2.

lengths and angles in the four molecules do not differ significantly except for those involving the methylene C atom of the five-membered ring. The average bond lengths and angles are not significantly different from those in the closely related compounds 4,7-dimethoxy-5-methylphthalide (Whalley, Ferguson & Roberts, 1980) and mycophenolic acid (Harrison, Shearer & Trotter, 1972). Similar geometry is also observed in relevant fragments of 8-acetyl-4,5-dihydro-1H,3H-naphtho[1,2c:4,3-b]difuran-1-one (Watanabe, Kawada, Takamoto, Imada & Noguchi, 1984) and australide A (Horak, Steyn, Van Rooyen, Vleggaar & Rabie, 1981). The molecular packing is dominated by the hydrogen bonding between pairs of molecules (1 and 4, 2 and 3) with the mean planes of all molecules, except molecule 4, approximately normal to the *a* axis.

The structure of (II) (Fig. 2) has been described previously (Brehm, Stoeckli-Evans, Tabacchi & Bürgi, 1983). Comparative statistics using  $\chi^2$  sums (Coppens et al., 1987) and t tests indicate close agreement between the two studies (identical atom labels have been used). The volume decrease of the unit cell corresponding to the lower temperature of this study (27.3 Å<sup>3</sup>, 3%) is reflected in barely significant packing differences around the ester and hydroxyl groups [O(2)]and O(10), respectively], and in a shortening of the intramolecular hydrogen-bond contact distance [H...O 1.66 (4) Å]. Final positional and equivalant isotropic displacement parameters are given in Table 4.



Fig. 2. View of compound (II) (ORTEPII; Johnson, 1976) with 50% probability ellipsoids for non-H atoms.

Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation

 $\lambda = 0.71073$  Å

Compound (I)

Crystal data  $C_{10}H_{10}O_4$  $M_r = 194.2$ 

Triclinic Cell parameters from 24 ΡĪ reflections a = 6.985 (4) Å  $\theta = 3.5 - 13.7^{\circ}$ b = 16.056 (22) Å $\mu = 0.12 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ c = 16.566 (17) ÅT = 133 K $\alpha = 81.92 (10)^{\circ}$ Needle  $\beta = 85.05 (7)^{\circ}$  $0.50 \times 0.12 \times 0.08 \text{ mm}$  $\gamma = 79.34 (8)^{\circ}$ Light brown V = 1804 (3) Å<sup>3</sup> Z = 8 $D_x = 1.43 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Data collection Nicolet R3m diffractometer  $\theta_{\rm max} = 22.5^{\circ}$  $h = 0 \rightarrow 8$  $\omega$  scans Absorption correction:  $k = -18 \rightarrow 18$ none  $l = -18 \rightarrow 18$ 4827 measured reflections 3 standard reflections 4665 independent reflections monitored every 97 1653 observed reflections reflections  $[I > 3\sigma(I)]$ intensity decay: 2%  $R_{\rm int} = 0.0195$ 

Refinement

S

C(110)

O(21)

O(22) O(23) O(24) C(21) C(22) C(23) C(24)

C(25) C(26)

C(27) C(28)

C(29)

C(210)

Refinement on 
$$F^2$$
 $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o)^2 + 0.0702P^2]$  $R(F) = 0.051$ where  $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_o^2)/3$  $wR(F^2) = 0.111$  $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} = 0.20$  $S = 1.110$  $\Delta\rho_{max} = 0.26$  e Å<sup>-3</sup>4665 reflections $\Delta\rho_{min} = -0.26$  e Å<sup>-3</sup>628 parametersAtomic scattering factorsOnly coordinates of H atoms  
refinedfrom International Tables  
for Crystallography (1992,  
Vol. C)

l'able :	1. Fraction	al atomic	coordinates	and	equivalent
is	otropic dis	placement	parameters (	(Ų)	for (I)

$$U_{\text{eq}} = (1/3) \sum_i \sum_j U_{ij} a_i^* a_i^* \mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{a}_j.$$

x	у	z	$U_{eq}$
0.2639 (11)	0.1136 (5)	0.4837 (5)	0.038 (2)
0.2570 (10)	-0.0265 (5)	0.4972 (4)	0.031 (2)
0.2723 (11)	-0.0630 (5)	0.8595 (4)	0.034 (2)
0.2921 (12)	0.1669 (5)	0.6525 (4)	0.033 (2)
0.2666 (17)	0.0464 (7)	0.5289 (7)	0.030 (3)
0.2571 (19)	-0.0971 (8)	0.5645 (7)	0.030 (3)
0.2660 (15)	-0.0578 (7)	0.6393 (7)	0.023 (3)
0.2659 (17)	-0.0926 (7)	0.7206 (7)	0.028 (3)
0.2687 (15)	-0.0362 (7)	0.7789 (7)	0.026 (3)
0.2827 (16)	0.0514 (7)	0.7562 (8)	0.026 (3)
0.2868 (15)	0.0809 (7)	0.6744 (7)	0.027 (3)
0.2747 (15)	0.0284 (7)	0.6167 (6)	0.022 (3)
0.2590 (24)	-0.1512 (8)	0.8871 (8)	0.052 (5)
0.2868 (19)	0.1069 (8)	0.8206 (8)	0.034 (4)
0.8158 (11)	-0.6848 (4)	0.9681 (5)	0.038 (2)
0.7724 (11)	-0.6417 (4)	1.0925 (5)	0.037 (2)
0.6960 (12)	-0.2744 (5)	0.9570 (5)	0.045 (2)
0.7989 (14)	-0.5260 (5)	0.8384 (5)	0.044 (3)
0.7870 (17)	-0.6263 (7)	1.0103 (8)	0.033 (3)
0.7374 (20)	-0.5615 (8)	1.1256 (7)	0.033 (3)
0.7367 (16)	-0.4936 (8)	1.0544 (7)	0.029 (3)
0.7134 (18)	-0.4071 (8)	1.0490 (8)	0.038 (4)
0.7180 (17)	-0.3610 (7)	0.9713 (8)	0.033 (3)
0.7435 (16)	-0.3994 (7)	0.9016 (7)	0.028 (3)
0.7720 (17)	-0.4888 (7)	0.9068 (7)	0.029 (3)
0.7649 (15)	-0.5356 (7)	0.9857 (7)	0.025 (3)
0.6786 (21)	-0.2295 (9)	1.0278 (10)	0.051 (4)
0.7529 (21)	-0.3482 (9)	0.8177 (9)	0.043 (4)

#### **GRAEME J. GAINSFORD**

Compound (II) Crystal data  $C_{10}H_{12}O_4$  $M_r = 196.2$ Monoclinic

Absorption correction:

2505 measured reflections 1415 observed reflections

 $\omega$  scans

none

O(31)	0.8650(12)	0.3167 (5)	0.7807 (5)	0.042 (2)
O(32)	0.8289 (11)	0.2858 (4)	0.6547 (4)	0.032 (2)
O(33)	0.7670(11)	-0.0749 (5)	0.7856 (4)	0.032 (2)
O(34)	0.8274 (12)	0.1633 (5)	0.9073 (5)	0.034 (2)
C(31)	0.8388 (16)	0.2665 (7)	0.7367 (7)	0.028 (3)
C(32)	0.8017 (18)	0.2092 (8)	0.6203 (7)	0.033 (3)
C(33)	0.7962 (15)	0.1405 (7)	0.6922 (7)	0.025 (3)
C(34)	0.7796 (15)	0.0567 (7)	0.6951 (7)	0.025 (3)
C(35)	0.7814 (16)	0.0097 (7)	0.7719 (7)	0.027 (3)
C(36)	0.7892 (16)	0.0457 (7)	0.8444 (7)	0.027 (3)
C(37)	0.8108 (16)	0.1285 (7)	0.8371 (7)	0.028 (3)
C(38)	0.8143 (16)	0.1783 (7)	0.7613 (7)	0.027 (3)
C(39)	0.7711 (20)	-0.1159 (8)	0.7143 (8)	0.034 (4)
C(310)	0.7836 (22)	-0.0076 (9)	0.9275 (8)	0.043 (4)
O(41)	0.3620 (10)	0.2834 (5)	0.5080 (4)	0.034 (2)
O(42)	0.3932 (10)	0.4219 (5)	0.4867 (4)	0.031 (2)
O(43)	-0.3180 (11)	0.5225 (4)	0.2968 (4)	0.031 (2)
O(44)	0.0124 (11)	0.2590 (4)	0.4192 (4)	0.030 (2)
C(41)	0.3015 (7)	0.3574 (8)	0.4771 (6)	0.030 (6)
C(42)	0.2875 (18)	0.5010 (7)	0.4433 (7)	0.029 (3)
C(43)	0.1230 (16)	0.4750 (6)	0.4092 (6)	0.020 (3)
C(44)	-0.0258 (18)	0.5231 (7)	0.3651 (7)	0.028 (3)
C(45)	-0.1625 (16)	0.4809 (7)	0.3404 (6)	0.023 (3)
C(46)	-0.1547 (16)	0.3916 (7)	0.3587 (6)	0.019 (3)
C(47)	0.0029 (17)	0.3459 (6)	0.4026 (6)	0.026 (3)
C(48)	0.1367 (16)	0.3879 (6)	0.4287 (6)	0.022 (3)
C(49)	-0.3461 (20)	0.6140 (8)	0.2800 (8)	0.038 (4)
C(410)	-0.3019 (19)	0.3466 (8)	0.3317 (8)	0.033 (3)

Table 2. Selected geometric parameters (Å) for (I)

O(11)—C(11)	1.222 (12)	O(31)—C(31)	1.207 (12)
O(12)—C(11)	1.363 (12)	O(32)—C(31)	1.354 (12)
O(12) - C(12)	1.474 (12)	O(32)—C(32)	1.475 (14)
O(13)-C(15)	1.345 (12)	O(33)—C(35)	1.367 (12)
O(13)—C(19)	1.443 (14)	O(33)—C(39)	1.427 (14)
O(14) - C(17)	1.384 (13)	O(34)—C(37)	1.382 (13)
C(11)—C(18)	1.447 (14)	C(31)—C(38)	1.454 (14)
C(12) - C(13)	1.48 (2)	C(32)—C(33)	1.508 (14)
C(13) - C(14)	1.383 (14)	C(33) - C(34)	1.37 (2)
C(13) - C(18)	1.392 (14)	C(33)—C(38)	1.39 (2)
C(14) - C(15)	1.418 (14)	C(34) - C(35)	1.384 (14)
C(15) - C(16)	1,423 (14)	C(35)—C(36)	1.41 (2)
C(16) - C(17)	1.37 (2)	C(36)—C(37)	1.353 (14)
C(16)—C(110)	1.49 (2)	C(36)—C(310)	1.52 (2)
C(17)—C(18)	1.378 (14)	C(37)—C(38)	1.392 (14)
O(21)—C(21)	1.226 (12)	O(41)—C(41)	1.237 (12)
O(22)—C(21)	1.348 (13)	O(42)—C(41)	1.349 (12)
O(22)—C(22)	1.441 (12)	O(42)—C(42)	1.470 (12)
O(23)—C(25)	1.359 (12)	O(43)—C(45)	1.370 (12)
O(23)—C(29)	1.45 (2)	O(43)—C(49)	1.435 (13)
O(24)—C(27)	1.337 (13)	O(44)—C(47)	1.374 (11)
C(21)—C(28)	1.440 (14)	C(41)—C(48)	1.43 (2)
C(22)—C(23)	1.49 (2)	C(42)C(43)	1.47 (2)
C(23)—C(24)	1.36 (2)	C(43)C(44)	1.373 (14)
C(23)—C(28)	1.384 (14)	C(43)C(48)	1.378 (14)
C(24)—C(25)	1.39 (2)	C(44)—C(45)	1.387 (14)
C(25)—C(26)	1.37 (2)	C(45)C(46)	1.414 (13)
C(26)—C(27)	1.40 (2)	C(46)—C(47)	1.404 (14)
C(26)—C(210)	1.52 (2)	C(46)—C(410)	1.49 (2)
C(27)—C(28)	1.415 (14)	C(47)—C(48)	1.378 (14)

Table 3. Hydrogen-bonding geometry (Å, °) for (I)

$\mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot \mathbf{A}$	$D \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D$ — $\mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot \mathbf{A}$
2.41 (10)	3.07 (1)	144 (10)
2.69 (9)	3.09(1)	118 (10)
2.41 (9)	3.04 (1)	133 (8)
2.30 (10)	3.07(1)	136 (8)
2.29 (9)	2.88(1)	136 (10)
2.08 (10)	2.77 (1)	163 (11)
2.11 (10)	2.75(1)	134 (8)
2.02 (8)	2.79(1)	135 (8)
	HA 2.41 (10) 2.69 (9) 2.41 (9) 2.30 (10) 2.29 (9) 2.08 (10) 2.11 (10) 2.02 (8)	$\begin{array}{c cccc} H \cdots A & D \cdots A \\ 2.41 \ (10) & 3.07 \ (1) \\ 2.69 \ (9) & 3.09 \ (1) \\ 2.41 \ (9) & 3.04 \ (1) \\ 2.30 \ (10) & 3.07 \ (1) \\ 2.29 \ (9) & 2.88 \ (1) \\ 2.08 \ (10) & 2.77 \ (1) \\ 2.11 \ (10) & 2.75 \ (1) \\ 2.02 \ (8) & 2.79 \ (1) \end{array}$

Symmetry codes: (i) x, y - 1, z; (ii) x, 1 + y, z.

Compound (11)	
Crystal data	
C <sub>10</sub> H <sub>12</sub> O <sub>4</sub> $M_r = 196.2$ Monoclinic $P2_1/c$ a = 7.043 (2) Å b = 18.142 (7) Å c = 7.238 (2) Å $\beta = 96.44$ (2)° V = 918.9 (4) Å <sup>3</sup> Z = 4 $D_x = 1.42$ Mg m <sup>-3</sup>	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å Cell parameters from 24 reflections $\theta = 3.7-16.5^{\circ}$ $\mu = 0.120 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 133  K Needle $0.38 \times 0.23 \times 0.13 \text{ mm}$ Light brown
Data collection	
Nicolet R3m diffractometer	$\theta_{\rm max} = 27.5^{\circ}$

$\theta_{\rm max} = 27.5^{\circ}$
$h = 0 \rightarrow 10$
$k = 0 \rightarrow 26$
$l = -11 \rightarrow 11$
3 standard reflections
monitored every 97
reflections
intensity decay: 2%

#### Refinement

 $[I > 3\sigma(I)]$  $R_{int} = 0.0134$ 

Refinement on F	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o)]$
R = 0.040	$+ 0.0006(F_o)^2$ ]
wR = 0.052	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.004$
S = 1.74	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.29 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
1415 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.21 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
175 parameters	Atomic scattering factors
All H-atom parameters	from International Tables
refined	for X-ray Crystallography
	(1974, Vol. IV)

## Table 4. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (Å<sup>2</sup>) for (II)

# $U_{\rm eq} = (1/3) \sum_i \sum_j U_{ij} a_i^* a_i^* \mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{a}_j.$

	x	у	z	$U_{eq}$
O(2)	1.3902 (2)	0.1890(1)	0.2754 (2)	0.029(1)
O(4)	0.7743 (2)	0.1122 (1)	0.0434 (2)	0.030(1)
0(9)	1.4613 (2)	0.3265 (1)	0.3094 (2)	0.030(1)
O(10)	1.2464 (2)	0.4092 (1)	0.1937 (2)	0.028 (1)
C(1)	1.1535 (3)	0.2839(1)	0.1844 (2)	0.020 (2)
C(2)	1.2092 (3)	0.2087 (1)	0.2074 (2)	0.022 (2)
C(3)	1.0851 (3)	0.1504 (1)	0.1591 (3)	0.022 (2)
C(4)	0.8990 (3)	0.1684 (1)	0.0906 (2)	0.022 (2)
C(5)	0.8384 (3)	0.2416(1)	0.0715 (3)	0.022 (2)
C(6)	0.9614 (3)	0.2996 (1)	0.1147 (2)	0.021 (2)
C(7)	1.1510 (4)	0.0720(1)	0.1801 (3)	0.031 (2)
C(8)	0.8781 (3)	0.3760(1)	0.0870 (3)	0.026 (2)
C(9)	1.2987 (3)	0.3398 (1)	0.2353 (3)	0.023 (2)
C(10)	1.3914 (3)	0.4651 (1)	0.2317 (4)	0.034 (2)

For compound (I), the H atoms were refined with three group isotropic U's for hydroxyl protons, methyl protons and ring protons.

Data collection, cell refinement and data reduction: Nicolet R3m Software (Siemens, 1983). Structure solution: SHELXS86 (Sheldrick, 1985) and MITHRIL (Gilmore, 1984). Structure refinement: SHELX76 (Sheldrick, 1976) and SHELXL93 (Sheldrick, 1993). Molecular graphics: ORTEPII (Johnson, 1976) and SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 1990). Preparation of material for publication: SHELX76 and SHELXL93.

The author would like to thank H. E. Harvey and J. M. Waring for supplying the crystals.

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters, Hatom coordinates for (I) and (II), angles for (I) and bond lengths and angles for (II), have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: AS1120). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

#### References

- Brehm, L., Stoeckli-Evans, H., Tabacchi, R. & Bürgi, H.-B. (1983). *Helv. Chim. Acta*, 66, 824–827.
- Coppens, P., Dam, J., Harkema, S., Feil, D., Feld, R., Lehmann, M. S., Goddard, R., Krüger, C., Hellner, E., Johansen, H., Larsen, F. K., Koetzle, T. F., McMullan, R. K., Maslen, E. N. & Stevens, E. D. (1987). Acta Cryst. A40, 184–195.
- Gilmore, C. J. (1984). J. Appl. Cryst. 17, 42-46.
- Harrison, W., Shearer, H. M. M. & Trotter, J. (1972). J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans 2, pp. 1542–1544.
- Harvey, H. E. & Waring, J. M. (1987). J. Nat. Prod. 50, 767-776. Horak, R. M., Steyn, P. S., Van Rooyen, P. H., Vleggaar, R. & Rabie,
- C. J. (1981). J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. pp. 1265–1267.
- Johnson, C. K. (1976). ORTEPII. Report ORNL-5138. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1976). SHELX76. Program for Crystal Structure Determination. Univ. of Cambridge, England.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1985). SHELXS86. Crystallographic Computing 3, edited by G. M. Sheldrick, C. Krüger & R. Goddard, pp. 175–189. Oxford Univ. Press.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1990). SHELTXL/PC Users Manual. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1993). SHELXL93. Program for the Refinement of Crystal Structures. Univ. of Göttingen, Germany.
- Siemens (1983). Nicolet R3m Software. Version 4.11. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Watanabe, M., Kawada, M., Takamoto, M., Imada, I. & Noguchi, S. (1984). Chem. Pharm. Bull. **32**, 3373–3377.
- Whalley, W. B., Ferguson, G. & Roberts, P. J. (1980). Acta Cryst. B36, 2465-2467.

## Comment

The title molecule, (I), is planar with a maximum deviation from the molecular plane of 0.03(1) Å and a dihedral angle between the five- and six-membered ring planes of 0.66 (7)°. These features are similar to those found in ninhvdrin (Medrud, 1969). Our interest is in comparing the geometries of the hydroxamic and amidic groups. Compared with the structure of phthalic anhydride (Bates & Cutler, 1977), the structure of the title compound shows only small differences in bond lengths between chemically equivalent atoms, but the N(1)-C(1)-O(1) [124.6 (5)°] and N(1)-C(2)-O(2) $[124.9(5)^{\circ}]$  bond angles are about 4° larger than the corresponding angles of phthalic anhydride (120.5 and 120.6°, respectively) and are close to the corresponding angles in N-phthaloylglycine hydroxamic acid form (I) (123.5 and 124.0°, respectively) and form (II) (123.5 and 124.3°, respectively) (Sikirica & Vickovic, 1980, 1981).



The crystal structure is characterized by intermolecular hydrogen bonds:  $O(10) \cdots O(1^i) 2.69(1)$  Å and  $O(10) - H(10) \cdots O(1^i) 167(3)^\circ$  [symmetry code: (i) 2-x,  $\frac{1}{2} + y$ ,  $\frac{1}{2}-z$ ]. These values are in good agreement with the values for salicylhydroxamic acid (Larsen, 1978), acetohydroxamic acid hemihydrate (Bracher & Small, 1970) and N-(3-cyanophenyl)acetohydroxamic acid hydrate (Mocharla, Powell & van der Helm, 1984).

The molecular packing is stabilized by hydrogen bonds and van der Waals interactions. In the **b** direction, the molecules are linked into chains by hydrogen bonds.

Acta Cryst. (1995). C51, 712-713

# **N-Hydroxyphthalimide**

FANG-MING MIAO, JIN-LING WANG AND XIU-SHEN MIAO

Chemistry Department of Tianjin Normal University, Tianjin, People's Republic of China

(Received 14 December 1993; accepted 29 June 1994)

### Abstract

The title compound, *N*-hydroxy-1*H*-isoindole-1,3(2*H*)dione,  $C_8H_5NO_3$ , is virtually planar with a maximum deviation of 0.03 (1) Å from planarity. Molecules are connected *via* hydrogen bonds and van der Waals interactions.

© 1995 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved



Fig. 1. Molecular structure of the title compound. Displacement ellipsoids are plotted at the 50% probability level.

**Experimental** Crystal data

 $C_8H_5NO_3$  $M_r = 163.13$ 

Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation  $\lambda = 0.71073$  Å

Acta Crystallographica Section C ISSN 0108-2701 ©1995